## The Curse of Ham.

## DISCOURSE VII.

## GEN. ix. 25.

And he said, Cursed be Canaan: A servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren.

IN profecution of the argument, which I have advanced in defence of christianity, I endeavoured in the former discourses to shew, that christianity is founded on facts, and the evidence of sense — That no diminution hath happened in this evidence; but that it hath been, and is, perpetuated in its full force.

I likewise intimated, That supposing a diminution, christianity is provided with much supplemental evidence, arising from fresh facts, which not only keeps up, but adds to the sum of the evidence, in general.

Among

Among the principal of these subsidiary facts, I reckon the accomplishment of scripture-prophecies, in different periods; some in one age, and some in another; some extending through many, and some throughout all ages; so that no age may be wanting in a sufficient supply of this kind: which doth more than compensate for any supposed diminution in the original evidence.

I observed farther, That of these prophecies, some were general, and some particular, and added a few general observations on the nature of the evidence arising from the accomplishment of prophecy.

I come now, as I proposed, to enter upon the consideration of some of the most remarkable particular prophecies, which have their accomplishment in these latter ages; for the perpetuating, strengthening, and increasing the general evidence of revealed religion and christianity: For the fermer being inclusive of the latter, and both so closely connected together, a proof of the one implies a proof of the other,

and they must stand, or fall, both toge-ther.

I do not propose to extend this design to all those prophecies, which have received their full, and final accomplishment in any past ages, and are now ceased to be fulfilled; though these, as they continue on record, still make a very considerable part of the general evidence a. I shall confine myself to some select prophecies, in which we, of this age, are more immediately interested; which have their accomplishment at this very time; and flash conviction in the faces of the men of this incredulous generation: That if peradventure they may see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their hearts; and be not faithless but believing. These prophecies I shall endeavour to trace through the several periods

This design hath been well executed on a larger plan by Bishop Newton, in his Dissertation on the Prophecies—A work, which as I often happen to fall in with, it may be sufficient to declare, once for all, that most of these discourses were drawn up, before the first volume of that work was published; as is known to some persons now living, whose testmony is above exception.

of their accomplishment down to the present time.

I shall begin with a very antient prophecy, even almost as antient as the flood; delivered by Noah, an inhabitant of the old world, and the father of the new: And this prophecy, which began to operate towards its accomplishment soon after it was delivered, hath not ceased to be accomplished as yet.

The whole passage in which it is contained, in our English translation, runs thus — And Ham is the father of Canaan — And Ham, the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without — And Noah awoke from his wine, and knew what his younger son had done unto him. And he said, Cursed be Canaan: A servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren. And he said, Blessed be the Lord God of Shem; and Canaan shall be his servant. God shall enlarge Japheth; and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem: And Canaan shall be bis servant. Gen. ix. 18.22. 24. 27. The

The crime here is undoubtedly Ham's: The curse, in consequence of it, seems denounced against his son Canaan. That children should be punished for the sins of their parents is no strange doctrine: That parents should at the same time escape entirely free from any punishment for their sins, in their own persons, is what we do not read of in scripture, but when, at the same time, we read of their repentance. That Noah should overlook the immediate transgressor, at the very time, when, in the height of his resentment, he denounced the curse upon his offence; and should lay it upon the head of his innocent child, seems to be quite misplacing it. That Canaan should be singled out from among the other children of Ham, to have the whole load of the curse poured upon him, at an age, in which he could not be an accomplice in his father's crime b, and that none of the other children of Ham should in any measure share it with him, seems to be such

Vol. I.

T

dealing

That Canaan first discovered Noah's nakedness, and exposed him to his father, is a rabbinical invention, plainly contrived to colour the severity of the curse, as denounced against him.

dealing, as is hardly reconcileable with the equality of God's ways.

But if the child Canaan be the person who is condemned to be a servant unto his brethren, there is an impropriety in the very terms. These brethren are mentioned by name, Shem and Japheth; who are expressly called Ham's brethren, ver. 22. and therefore would never be called brethren to his child likewise, throughout the rest of the passage: for though nephews, grown up to man's estate, are in scripture-language acknowledged as brethren by their uncles'; yet uncles are never called brethren to their nephews; which would be yet more improper, while the latter were but in their infancy; as Canaan must be supposed to have then been: And for all the foregoing reasons, it seems most improbable, that he should be the immediate subject of the curse.

There is, in the whole, such an unnatural incongruity, in this view of it, that it

hath

ther's son, Gen. xiv. 12 is called his brother, ver. 14, 16. And faceb is called Laban's brother, though his sifer's son, chap. xxix. 12, 15.

hath always been reckoned a great difficulty; by which some learned men have been so embarrassed, that, in order to remove it; they have unwarrantably proposed a correction of the text, on a groundless supposition of its being corrupted. No wonder unbelievers cavil at it. Lord Bolingbroke says, it contradicts all our notions of order and justice: and it is difficult to resute him, on the common acceptation of the passage: But, according to the sense which I am going to offer, his objections all fall to the ground; which will save the trouble of a particular resutation of them.

What I apprehend to be the real truth of the case is, that by Canaan in the text is meant Ham; and that the Curse is denounced directly against Ham in person.

I am confirmed in this opinion by the following reasons.

1. Aldus's edition of the LXX, which was printed from many very antient copies<sup>e</sup>, reads, Cursed be Ham.

T 2 2. Where

d See Calmet in loc. and Bishop Newton on the prophecies, Vol. I. p. 21.

Aldus edit. 1xx. 1518. Ex multis vetustissimis exemplaribus excusa prodiit. Userius de 1xx versione:

- 2. Where Ham is first mentioned in the original, ver. 18. it is with this peculiar distinction אולי כנען which ought to be rendered, not, Ham was the father of Canaan. But, Ham was that Abi-canaan, a form of expression, used by way of eminence, not unlike to what we meet with in other languages : accordingly ver. 22. he is again called, Ham Abi-canaan.
  - 3. The Arabic version renders, Ham was surnamed Abi-canaan; and it every where continues that name to him throughout the passage, together with Ham, or instead of it. Hence I think we have good authority to conclude that Abi-canaan was none other than Ham's surname, by which he was well known in the early ages.

The relative terms, and and are frequently used in the composition of Hebrew, and Phænician, or Canaanitish proper names, of which numerous instances occur in scrip-

Thus o is prefixed by the Greeks to their names, nat' & oxno, for autos, or excuos, to point out a certain noted person: and ille is used in like manner by the Latins, as Alexander ille magnus. Both which relatives seem to answer NT, in the Hebrew.

ture g. It was likewise customary among the Arabs, and continues to be so to this day, for the father to receive his sur-name from the son h: and hence the Arabic translator came to hitt off the right sense of this passage, better than all others i.

But if Abi-canaan be not a sur-name, I should be glad to know, what occasion there was for our being told in the Hebrew text twice, within so short a compass, that Ham was the father of Canaan; and that this should be repeated no less than five times in the Arabic version? and that, before

Thus we have Abi-melech, a Philistine prince descended from Ham, Gen. xx. Abi-athar, Abi-ram, Ab-raham, Ab-salom, and many others.

h The father sometimes received his cognomen even from a daughter: and there are instances among them of mothers being denominated from their sons, as Omm Khaled. Mod. univ. hist. Vol. I. p. 21, 191. Vol. II. p. 81. Vol. III. p. 643.

The latin Translator of the Arabic version renders Ham verò cognominabatur pater Canaan: which he would not have done had he attended to the force of the verb in the original, or to that of the verb by which he translated it: but would have written, Ham cognominabatur Abi-canaan. Ham was surnamed Abi-canaan not pater-Ganaan, the father of Canaan: for that is no surname.

the

the sacred historian entered upon the genealogies of the sons of Noah, which is the subject of the next chapter.

The reason why the sur-name of Abicanaan should be given to Ham seems to
have been to distinguish him from another
Ham, the son of Canaan: which latter
probably having been the planter of Egypt;
and well known by the Israelites there,
might otherwise have been mistaken by
them for his grand-father, as the person
guilty of this transgression. For that there
was another Ham, the son of Canaan, appears from a passage in Eusebius, out of
Alexander Poly-bistor; whom he mentions
as an author of great judgment and learning; Poly-bistor having preserved it from
Eupolemus k.

κ The passage from Eusebius is this, Βαθυλονιας λεγείν πρωτου γενεως Βηλου, ου ειναι Χρουου εκ τατα δε γενεως Βηλου, και Χανααν τατου δε του Χανααν γενυησαι, του πατερα των Φοινικών. τατα δε Χαρι υίου γενεως, ου ύπο των Έλληνων λεγεως Ασδολου, πατερα δε Αιθιοπών, αδελφου δε τα Μεςραείμ, πατερα Αιγυπίων.

Euseb. Præp. evang. lib. ix. cap. 17. The purport of this passage is as follows. The Babylonians say, that the first man was Belus, the same with The

The same passage makes distinct mention of two Canaans, father and son; the former said to be the son of Belus, or Noah;

Chronus, or Saturn (by whom is meant Noah). That to him were born Belus and Canaan. (By this latter Belus is meant Nimrod, whom the Babylonians make to be the eldest son of Noah, on account of his having been their own sounder, though he was only his great grandfon). That Canaan had a son of the same name with himself, who was father of the Phænicians. That this later Canaan had a son, whose name was Ham, who by the Greeks was called Asbolus (a word of near affinity with Ham, the one signifying sooty, or black; the other hot, or sun-burnt). That this Ham was the father of the Ethiopians, and brother (nephew) to Mizraim, sather of the Egyptians.

The comment upon this passage is Bochart's. But I must complain of the unwarrantable liberty, taken by this learned man, in altering the text; and instead of the first Canaan, reading Ham, upon a mere presumption that Ham was never known by the name Canaan: which would have hardly justified the alteration, had there been no reasons to the contrary. For why might there not have been two Canaans, as well as two Belus's or two Hams? This is destroying instead of amending a valuable record of antiquity; an instance of the rashness of critics in altering the text of authors often for the worse. See Bochart's Phaleg. lib. iv. cap. 2. The instances in the foregoing passage shew the antiquity of the custom of retaining the names of the heads of samilies by their descendants.

1 4

and

and the father of the other Canaan, the progenitor of the Phænicians. Which is so descriptive of Ham, ascertaining him on both sides, that it hence evidently appears he was in the early ages, called and known by his name Canaan. But how he came to drop the prefix Abi, remains to be shewn. Therefore we may observe,

4. That Ham is called Noab's 1077 13, which we, after all others, translate, his younger son. But the words require to be translated youngest son, if they refer to the order of his birth: and I doubt not but they would have been so translated by all, had Ham been thought the youngest of Noah's children. But he is generally supposed to have been his second son, as he always is ranked in the second place. The term, inpit, therefore is no more applicable to him in this respect, than that of, bill, the eldest, would have been. The word pp, must therefore bear some different meaning. And it may well be understood in a moral sense, as it is allowed to signify little in quality, as well as quantity.

Thus the word is used, Jer. xlix. 15. Lo, I will make thee, 100, small among the beathen, and as it is explained in the next words, despised among men. And Obad. 2. Behold I have made thee small among the heathen: Thou art greatly despised. As Ham therefore had greatly vilified and lessened himself by his graceless behaviour towards his father, I apprehend the word ought to be translated, bis base, or despicable son!: And the sentence pronounced upon him hence appears to be no more than accommodating his condition to the baseness of his temper. Accordingly, his sur-name hereupon underwent a diminution. The most honourable part of it, in, father, a title of dignity<sup>m</sup>, conferred only on princes, and great personages, was dropt, as not proper to be continued to a man, who was going to be degraded to the low condition of a servant, nay, slave to his breth-

In the Targum of Jonathan it is rendered according to this sense. אַרָלְילָ בַוּבְוּבְוּלָא, which he translates, minor merito.

m יבור כבור כבור לשון כבור לשון הוא Abba is a word of honour, even as Rabbi, say the Talmudists. Buxtors. Lex. Talmud.

ren': And he is thence forward, by way of diminution, called by the simple name of Canaan, which signifies a degraded perfon °.

Abbreviations of names occur frequently in scripture?. I shall insist but upon one other, which may serve to illustrate that under consideration, having undergone a like alteration, on a like account.

n The expression here made use of denotes the most abject and lowest degree of servitude. Substantivum seipsum genitivo casu regens superlativam locutionem cum singulari energiâ denotat: ut TIY 🗀 TIY, servus servorum. Gen. ix. 25. id est, summâ et vilissimâ servitute pressus. Buxtorf. Thes. Gram. lib. ii. cap. 3.

o The verb yll, whence comes Canaan, signifies to degrade, 1912, a merchant, undoubtedly comes from the proper name Canaan, the Canaanites having been the first traders: and not this latter from it, as some have absurdly imagined; the name Canaan having been given before trade could have begun after the flood.

? Thus we meet with Sittim, Numb. xxv. 1, for Abel sittim. Chap. xxxiii, 49, Hermon very often, for Baal-Hermon. Judg iii. 3, Nimrim, for Beth-Nimrim; and Salem, for Jerusalem. Vide Bishop Patrick and Pool's Annot. on the name Abi-mael, Gen. x. 28. Vide etiam Bochart, Phaleg. lib. ii. cap. 25. Et Hierozoicon, lib. ii. çap. 25.

One of the princes of Judah, whose name originally was Jeconiah 4, is sometimes called Conich. This Prince, with the captives under him, who were carried to Babylon, are compared to a basket of good figs, by way of preference to those who were left behind, and are represented by the basket of bad figs, Jer. xxiv. And he is here called Feconiah. He is so called likewise, ch. xxviii. ver. 4. where God promises to restore him from captivity. But where God, by the prophet, declares his purpose of plucking him as a signet from his hand, of casting him out, and delivering him into the bands of his enemies, he calls him by the diminutive name Coniah, compares him to a despised broken idol, a vessel wherein is no pleasure; and orders him to be written childless, and a man who shall not prosper in bis days, Jer. xxii. 24. 30. But where God makes favourable mention of him, as above, he restores him to his original name again.

<sup>9</sup> See 1 Chron. iii. 16, 17. Jer. xxiv. 1. xxvii. 20. xxviii. 4.

F Jer, xxii. 24, 28. xxxyii. I.

For the several reasons before-going, we may be induced to think, that the curse was denounced against *Ham*, in his own person, under his degraded name *Canaan*, he alone having been in the transgression: And this, without being reduced to the necessity of supposing a corruption of the text, or making the least alteration in it.

As the curse was denounced against Ham, it is to be extended equally to all his posterity; and not to be confined to that branch of it, which descended from his son Canaan. For Ham being equally the representative of them all, what is said of him is to be understood of, and sulfilled upon, his posterity in general, according to the known stile of the prophetic language in the old testament. Thus all mankind are included in the sentence pronounced upon our first parents: And the predictions concerning other heads of families, as Abrabam, Isaac, Islomael, Esau, Jacob, have been verified in their posterity.

In order to know how this curse was inflicted on *Ham's* posterity, it is necessary to enquire, first, in what parts of the world

his

his descendants settled, and where they are to be found.

Ham is supposed to have settled himself in Egypt; which in the psalms is called the land of Ham; either from him, or his grandson of the same name.

Most of his posterity will be found to have been planted about him, in that and the neighbouring countries. His sons were four, Cush, Mizraim, Phut, and Canaan. Some of the immediate descendants of Cush first settled in Babylonia, and the neigh-

3 A name very suitable to the heat of the climate, of which it is significative. Ham, or Jupiter-Hammon, was worshipped under the name of Zeus; which is but the translation of  $\Box \Box$ , from  $\zeta_{\varepsilon\omega}$ , ferveo. The barren sands of Africa having been allotted to his share for his undutiful behaviour. All Africa was formerly called Aμμονις, or Αμμονία, from him. Fuller, Misc. Sacr. lib. ii. cap. 4. It had likewise the name of xnux, from Cham, antiently given it by the most learned of the Egyptian priests, as Plutarch testisies, de Isid. et Osir. There was a city in the province of Thebes, called Chamis, built in honour of him, the inhabitants of which are called Chami to this day. Chamis is the same with No ammon, in the prophets, Pso-chemis, and Psitta-chemis. Vide Diod. Sic. lib. 1. p. 16. cum annot. ib. Noammon is the same with RID 11DN; which may be rendered, Amon the son of Noah.

bouring

bouring parts; where they continued, and became populous and powerful : But the greatest number of them seem, from their names, to have spread towards the southwest, after the general dispersion from Babel, into Arabia : where having reached the borders of the Red-sea, and coasted to the southern extremity of it, they afterwards crossed the streights of Babal-mandab, and transplanted themselves into Ethiopia\*.

This is certain, that, according to all the antient versions of scripture, and all antient writers, both Jewish and Christian, by the country Cush is generally understood the proper Ethiopia; and the antient Hebrews looked upon the Ethiopians, as the proper Cushites. Some late critics indeed have endeavoured toprove, that Arabia is the country al-

t Gen. x. 6—12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>u</sup> Ver. 7.

<sup>\*</sup> Ludolfi hist. Æthiop. lib. i. cap. 1. What confirms this account of their migration is that the Abassynians themselves alledge, that they were not Ethiopians originally; but descended from the Chaldeans and Assyrians. Vide Nic. Fuller, Misc. sacr. lib. ii. cap. 1. p. 150, 171. Et Scaliger, De emend. temp. lib. vii.

ways meant by it. But their notion hath been refuted; and it hath been shewn, that it most usually and properly denoted the tract situated above the proper Egypt, comprehending the modern kingdoms of Dongala, Sennar, and Abassa.

What is most probable, and which seems to have given occasion to this controversy, is, that as the name Cush is sometimes gi-

Bochart, and his followers. Vide Phaleg. lib. iv. cap. 2.

What is sufficient to put this matter beyond all dispute is, that the Arabians are clearly distinguished from the Cushites, 2 Chron. xxi. 16. where mention is made of the Arabians near the Cushites; by the former of whom I understand the Arabs, who dwelt on the African side of the Red-sea, bordering upon the Ethiopians. Besides, when the prophet Feremy asks his countrymen, whether the Cushite can change his skin? he must be understood to have in his eye a proper Ethiopian; from which people alone the proverb could take its rise, as being the deepest blacks of all others, and differing greatly in colour from the Jews; which the Arabs in general did not. One tribe of them indeed were of a darker complexion than the rest, whence they had the name of Kedarites: But not so as to afford a sufficient foundation sor the proverb; at least they would, in that case, have been described by their own proper name.

ven to Arabia, the descendants of Cush, at sirst, in a great measure peopled this country likewise, and lest the name of their great progenitor to it, as they passed on to their chief and final settlement, the proper Ethiopia.

The settlements of the other sons of Ham have been less controverted. Miz-raim's posterity are on all hands agreed to have been planted in Egypt. Phut is generally supposed to have settled in some part of Africa. He is mentioned together with

<sup>2</sup> If we compare the several texts of scripture which make mention of Cush, or Cushite, we shall find, that the books which treat of the most ancient times, as those of Moses and Job, mean by it Arabia, and the inhabitants of that country. But in the latter writers, the prophets, the author of 2 Chron. and Esther, the proper Ethiopia and Ethiopians, are generally, and most probably, meant by these names. This confirms the conjecture, that these names were first given to Arabia, and its people, as the descendants of Cush first settled there, before the Ishmaelites grew up to be a people, and got possession of that country: And that asterwards these names were appropriated to Ethiopia, and its inhabitants, upon their removal thither. Comp. Gen. ii. 13. x. 6. Numb. xii. 1. Job. xxviii. 19. And 2 Chron. xiv. 9. · 12. xvi. 8. xxi. 16. Esth. i. 1. Jer. xiii. 23. Is. xi. 11. Ez.k. xxix. 10. with Lowth in loc.

Cush

Cush, Ezek. xxxviii. 5. and, with Cush, Lud, and Ludim, another descendant of Ham, who peopled Libya, Jer. xlvi. 9. And therefore probably he was settled somewhere near them. Some think he planted Mauritania; and others, that all Africa was divided between him and Mizraim b.

The posterity of Canaan first settled in the land that bare his name; whence the remainder of those that had been destroyed by the Israelites, sled to their kinsmen on

b See Bochart's Phaleg. lib. iv. cap. 33. And Jos. Antiq. lib. i. cap. 7. who says that Egypt, quite through the country, was in his time called Mexrim still, and the Egyptians Mezreans. It is called by the Arabs Mesre to this day: And the name of Mesr, Misor, or Misraim, is preserved in a city of the same name, Misor; now known to us by that of Cairo. Josephus testifies farther, that Phutes governed in Libya, and gave the whole nation the name of Phutians; which was changed. on account of Libys, one of the sons of Mesicaim. He says; several Greek historians mention a river and country in Mauritania, called Phute, supposed to have been planted by these people, from the lake Tritonis quite to the Atlantic ocean. Bochart has found other allusions to the name Phut, which signifies, being dispersed, as the Africans were in their habitations, by reason of their desarts. Bochart, ib. cap. 26.

Vol. I.

U

the

the coasts of Africa, and inhabited there. A testimony whereof were the two famous pillars, erected by them in Tingitana, with this inscription, "We are people saved "by flight, from that rover, Jesus the son " of Nave, who persecuted us c." It is well known that the Phenicians, several times afterwards planted colonies in Carthage, and other places on the sea coasts of Africa, where for the most part they finally settled.

Hence it appears, that Ham and the greatest part of his descendants were settled in the continent of Africa; by whom alone it was peopled and possessed, as is generally agreed.

Having fixt the settlements of Ham's posterity, so as to know where to find them, we shall be able to judge with the greater

precision,

We have for this the authority of Procopius, who tells us, that in his time, two pillars of white stone were to be seen there, with an inscription to the foregoing essect, in the Phenician language and character, and that he himself had read it. De bello Vandal. lib. ii. cap. 10. And his testimony is confirmed by several others.

precision, how the curse operated upon them.

If we take a general view of the history of this family, we shall be apt to conclude, that the curse upon them did not immediately take place; but that this is a prophecy, the completion of which was to be distant, and gradual, and not to be fully accomplished but in the latter days ---That the curse which it foretels is so far from expiring, or wearing away with time, that it hath hitherto been rather increasing, and growing heavier — and that these people were at first raised the higher by God's providence in order to make their fall the greater, and to render the low condition to which they were to be reduced the more remarkable and astonishing d: For

Dr. Jackson very judiciously observes to this purpose, that as God's promise to Abraham was not accomplished in his person, but in his posterity, many generations after his death: So neither did his curse upon Ham take place till the same time. The execution of God's curse upon one, was the collation of his blessing upon the other. But the enlarging of Japhet's race came a long time after both. Thus he says the Egyptians were the first great princes; the Assertions.

in the early ages of the world, they appear to have made a greater figure in history, with regard to the numerousness of their progeny, their power, dominion, and politeness, than the descendants of either Shemor Japhet. I do not mean, accrdoing to their own extravagant and fabulous accounts; which yet cannot be entirely without foundation; but according to the authentic records of sacred writ, short as they are \*. For here we find that the first kingdom after the flood was erected by Nimrod, the son of Cush: Nor doth any other dispute antiquity with it, but that of Egypt, erected by Mizraim, another son of Ham, if he himself was not the first monarch of it.

It is certain both these kingdoms were in great renown, for their early wisdom

Medes, and Persians, the next; the Grecians and Romans after them: And in latter times the race of Ishmael hath been the mighty people; for from him the Saracens lineally descend: And the Turks, who by the way are the sons of Japhet, by adoption heirs of the same promise. So truly doth the scripture tell us the truth of all antiquity; and the true causes of the increase of nations. Dr. Jackson's Works, Vol. I. Book i. chap. 16. p. 62.

# Gen. x.

and learning; their strength, riches, and extent of dominion; and had made great improvements in agriculture, architecture, geometry, astronomy, and other arts and sciences, in times that the two other families continued in such obscurity, that we scarce know any thing of them, except that some of the desendants of Shem had begun to form themselves into a few petty states.

Nay the first account we have of one considerable branch, as it proved to be, of Shem's family, I mean the Abrahamic, or Hebrew, is, that they were first sojourners, and afterwards, when they increased into a great multitude, slaves to the children of Ham; and continued so, in the whole, for the space of sour hundred years. At

Lord Bolingbroke remarks, that the Egyptians, who descended from Mizraim, Ham's son, were so far from being servants of servants to their cousins the Semites, that these were servants of servants to them, during more than sourscore years; reckoning, I suppose, from about the birth of Moses to the Exodus; in which he is more favourable than usual to the Israelites. But he needed not to have been so: For God said unto Abraham, Know of a surety, that thy seed shall be a stranger in a

length however they were delivered from their captivity with a high hand; and they in their turn triumphed over their oppressors in their destruction. They moreover soon retaliated their injuries on another branch of Ham's family, even all the kingdoms of Canaan. And now the curse of slavery began to operate on this wicked race; which they drew on their own heads, by their first infringing the liberties of other people, and detaining them in a cruel and oppresive vassalage for so long a course of years; the divine providence making those very people the first instruments of executing this sentence upon them. And thus it was literally accomplished upon the Canaanites. They became servants of servants—servants to those, that had been themselves servants to their Egyptian brethren. The history of the conquest and vassalage of the Canaanites by the children of Israel is well known.

land that is not theirs, and shall serve them, and they shall afflict them four hundred years. Gen. xv. 13. Which accordingly came to pass in the Egyptian bondage. Notwithstanding, the Egyptians, in process of time, became servants to the Shemites, as will be hereafter shewn.

It

It needs only be observed, that thirty of their kings being subdued, and most of the inhabitants destroyed, a great part of the remainder of the seven nations of the Canaanites were made slaves to the Israelites, when they took possession of their land. Even the Gibeonites, a considerable branch of the Hivites, who had, by their craft and subtilty, obtained better terms for themselves, were, notwithstanding their alliance, condemned to be hewers of wood, and drawers of water unto all the congregation. Their great ancestor's curse is recited in their very sentence: Now therefore ye are cursed, says Joshua, and there shall none of you be freed from being bond-men, and hervers of wood, and drawers of water for the house of my Godf.

After frequent wars and struggles between them and the Ifraelites, the remnant of the Amorites, Hittites, Perizzites, Hivites, and febusites, were totally reduced, in the reign of Solomon, to a state of slavery, which was entailed on their posterity s.

f Joshua ix. 23.

<sup>&</sup>amp; See Joseph. Antiq. lib. viii. cap. 2.

We find a farther completion of this prophecy on the Canaanites, in the successive conquests of the Greeks and Romans, descendants of Japhet, in Palestine, Phenicia, and elsewhere b: But especially in the total subversion of the Carthaginian power by the Romans; which made Hannibal cry out, Agnasco fatum Carthaginis: whereby he seems to have been sensible of the curse, to which he, and his republick, had been devoted in their progenitor.

Justin M. addressing himself to Trypho the Jew, tells him, "You, who are descended from Shem, according to the divine will, came into the land of the children of Canaan, and possessed it: And the sons of Japhet likewise, according to the divine decree, have come upon you, seized your

h As by the destruction of Tyre, built by the descendants of Zidon, first-born son of Canaan. The overthrow of the Thebans, and their government, who were a colony of Canaanites, their leader being Cadmus, of the family of the Cadmonites, who were the same with the Hivites, one of the nations of Canaan: Not to mention some invasions of the northern nations, the descendants of Togarma and Magog, sons of Japhet; wherein many of the Canaanites were probably carried into captivity. See Univ. Hist. Vol. I. p. 275. country,

country, and have kept possession of it. Thus the sons of Shem, having first possessed the habitations of Canaan, as was foretold, and the sons of Japhet, meaning the Romans, having afterwards got possession of them; by this means the descendants of Canaan have become servants to both the people of the other lines, rois duoi daois rou ένος λαου του απο Χανααν εις δουλειάν τοαρφίδο-BEVJOS 1

Thus the Canaanites became servants to the descendants of Shem; who themselves afterwards became subject to the sons of

Faphet.

It would be tedious to search the history of every particular branch of Ham's family, in order to trace out all the successive footsteps of the curse impending over each of them. The Babylonish, Egyptian, and Tyrian branches, which are those of the greatest note, will be hereafter considered; when each of them will be brought under a particular and separate consideration.

With regard to the present state of this family in general, their complexion, where-

ever

i Justin. M. Dial. cum Tryph. Jud. pars secunda.

ever they are met with, still proves their pedigree k; and their condition continues to be a proof of their sentence.

The greatest part of them having, as observed above, been settled in Africa, still occupy all that vast continent, very few others intermixing with them: And, excepting the inhabitants of such parts as border on the coasts of the Mediterranean and Levant, they were always so low, and

\* Mr. Whiston, in his exposition of the curse on Cain and Lamech, conjectures, that Cain's mark was having his colour turned black. If fo, the colour of Ham's offspring may be accounted for from him, as Ham is thought to have married into Cain's family. Bishop Cumberland supposes, that Naamah, the daughter of this Lamech, and sister of Tubal-Cain, was a wife of Ham, before the deluge; and that from her, being of the apostate line, was derived no small part of the post-diluvian cruelty, idolatry, and impurity, which we find in that family; from which those crimes seem to have been propagated into the families of Shem and Japhet likewise. Bp. Cumberland, Phænician Hist. p. 107. But as this Naamah was three generations older than Ham, it is more probable, that Ham's wife, who entered with him into the ark, and was the mother of his children, was another Naamah, descended from Cain through her, who marked her posserity aster her great ancestor, and conveyed a moral taint to them likewise.

obscure

obscure a people, that the rest of the world had, and still have, very little knowledge of them. But, as far as they were known, or thought worth the notice of other peorle, we find, that they were made the alternate property of some or other of the sons of Shem, or Japhet; having been successively subdued, and held in subjection, by the Persians, Greeks, Romans, Vandals, Saracens, Mamalukes: And last of all they were reduced under the power of the Turks; the most cultivated parts of Africa still continuing subject to the Ottoman empire, being governed by its lieutenants to this time. And as well these, as the other states of Africa, who have emperors, and princes of their own, as Morocco and Fez, are no better than slaves and vassals to them; all the governments of these countries being the most despotic and tyrannical in the world: Insomuch that it hath been justly observed, that none are descended from Ham, but slaves, and tyrants, who are indeed saves!

Take

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Campanella, apud Patrick, in Gen. ix. 27.

Take the inhabitants of this whole quarter of the globe together, as far as they are known, they are at this time the most uncivilized, the most wicked and villainous, without exception, of all the people in the world: Though many of them have, by commerce and intercourse with the Europeans, had opportunities of being better cultivated and humanized, yet so universally vicious are they, as to have occasioned the proverb, that all mankind have some good as well as bad qualities, except the Africans; and into such a degree of savageness are some nations of them degenerated, that they differ very little from brutes.

Witness the Gallas, or Giagas, who, as they are deferibed by modern travellers, seed upon human sless, defiroy their own offspring, and practice other such inhuman and abominable rites and customs, that they exceed all the savages, that were ever heard of in any age, or country. See Mod. Univ. Hist. Vol. XIV. p. 17.—XVI. p. 321. There is a tradition among the Hottentots, that God cursed their sist parents, who had grievously sinned and offended, and all their posterity, with hardness of heart — That these their progenitors, according to another tradition, which prevails, and is carefully preserved, throughout the different nations, were sent by God himself, and came into their country, through a

But the most remarkable completion of this prophecy consists in this, that several European nations, all descended from Ja-phet, have for some ages last past, carried on a considerable trade, which they still continue, with these wretched people; who, from their own intestine quarrels, and those quarrels industriously somented and kept up, are continually at war with each other; and sell their captives for nought, to be transported yearly in great numbers into the American colonies, where, to the

window—And that the man's name was Nôh, and the woman's Hingnôh; who taught their descendants to do many things, and to keep cattle. Ib. Vol. XV. p. 509.

These wretched people, though they have lost almost all other sense of religion, yet have preserved a notion of the curse of Ham, whose descendants undoubtedly they are, it being his offence, which is most probably meant by the tradition; and he who came out of the window, or door of the ark, together with his father Noah; though they have retained the name of the one, and lost that of the other.

The number of negroes in the British colonies only have been computed to exceed 800,000; besides those that are employed in the plantations of other European powers in America. Many likewise are yearly sent out of Africa, to Constantinople, and other parts of the Turkish empire; and great numbers are kept by the indelible

indelible reproach of the christian name, they are made slaves indeed—flaves, with regard to both body and mind—where they are literally wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked—where they suffer, not only a famine of bread, and thirst of water; but of hearing the words of the Lord. Amos viii. II.

These truly answer the description in the prophecy, of being servants of servants, denoting the most wretched servitude; being brought to open market, and sold like beasts of burden; reproachfully undergoing the like trials with them, of their soundness, strength, and ability for bodily labour, and being treated with more severity than even the ox, and the ass, as if they were more void of understanding than they.

Bashas of Egypt for state. The port of Liverpool alone doth, or lately did, trade for 25, 720 negroes yearly, and employed 88 vessels for the purpose. The Portugueze draw every year into Brazil from Africa between 40,000 and 50,000. In French Hispaniola there are 100,000. But the negroes in the French colonies are not left, as with us, wholly, both body and soul, to the discretion of the planter. The masters are obliged to instruct them in the principles of religion.

These

These poor creatures endure a slavery more complete, and attended with far worse circumstances, than what any people in their condition suffer in any other part of the world, or have suffered in any other period of time: A sufficient proof of which, is their prodigious waste; which requires to be yearly made up with such large supplies from Africa°; whereas the whites in our colonies, as well as the inhabitants of all other parts of the world, are more or less upon the increase.

Thus those that are concerned in carrying on this inhuman trade, are undesignedly fulfilling a prophecy; which notwithstanding is no justification of them, who literally compass sea and land, to make slaves of their own species, partakers of the same common nature with themselves, somenting quarrels among them, and engaging whole tribes and nations in war and bloodshed, to avail themselves of the captives

taken

P The negroes of Barbadoes, which are not quite 80,000, require 5000 annually to recruit them. See Account of European settlements in America, Vol. II. p. 93, 117. et alibi passim,

taken in those wars; whom they afterwards treat with so much barbarity, so unbecoming men, and so much to the hurt and scandal of christianity; and all this for filthy lucre's sake?

Upon the whole, the order of this prophecy is very remarkable. It first says, That Canaan, or Ham, shall be Shem's servant: And so it hath been shewn he first was. It then adds, he shall be Japhet's servant likewise: And so, in after-ages, we find he proved to be. So that the same order is observed, in the completion of the prophecy, as is seen in the delivery of it.

Notwithstanding all this, as Providence often brings good out of evil, for I call this good comparatively, this kind of commerce, unnatural as it is, hath been the means of abolishing the more unnatural customs, which prevailed among many African nations, of offering human sacrifices; feeding upon their own kind; and for that purpose exposing human sless in their shambles for sale. These horrid practices are observed to have ceased among them, since they found, they could dispose of their prisoners and slaves to greater advantage, by selling them to the Europeans.

farther says, that God shall enlarge farbet. And we may see, that he is at this day enlarged greatly; overspreading, not only all Europe with his posterity, but the greatest part of Asia likewise; and of late years extending his colonies into America, if that vast continent was not, for

I Japhet: signifies being enlarged. The most exact rendring is, Let God enlarge 15, unto Japhet, That is, Let him grant him a numerous posterity, and a proportionably large extent of habitation. To this purpose our Faller translates, after the exx, what would Geog to Iaped, dilatet Deus non Japhetum, sed Japheto. Misc. Sacra, lib. ii. cap. 4.

Some derive the name of Japhet from To pulcher. According to which acceptation, the sons and daughters of Japhet verify their descent by the beauty of their persons, their features and complexions, so greatly superior to that of both the other samilies. The Jeru-salem Targum translates, Let God beautify the borders of Japhet.

Namely, all the northern parts of Asia, the lesser Asia, Media, Armenia, the countries between the Euxine and the Caspian seas, as well as those lying to the north of them; Grand Tartary, with India and China: And most of these countries, both European and Asiatic, were observed to have been in the possession of the descendants of Japhet by Origen, in his time, as he is cited by Isidore.

Vol. I.

· • where, as just now observed, Canaan is truly his servant. Concerning Japket, the prophecy adds—he shall dwell in the tents of Shem. This he did in one sense, when Judea, and many other countries of Asia, in which the sons of Shem had planted themselves, were seized and occupied by the Greeks and Romans sormerly, and latterly by the Turks; who, as well as they, are sons of Japket, and who continue in possession of these countries at this time.

But the prophecy was most remarkably fulfilled in the religious sense, when the Gentiles, who were the sons of Japhet, came into the church of God; which for many ages had been confined to the family of Shem: And in this sense it continues to be sulfilled, more and more, even to this day.

See a differtation on the peopling of America in Univ. Hist. Vol. XX. p. 157. Mr. Mede supposes the Americans were the dregs of other nations, carried thither by the devil, to be out of the reach of the gospel, from which he flew; and that it was not inhabited before our Saviour's time.

Instead of Japhet shall dwell in the tents of Shem, the Jerusalem Targum renders, Let the children of Japhet become proselytes, and dwell in the schools of

And blessed, for ever blessed, be the Lord God of Shem; for his great mercy vouchsafed to the sons of faphet; in bringing them out of darkness into his marvelous light, by spreading the knowledge and profession of true religion so universally among them, that it is remarked, that all the offspring

Shem. Hence, and elsewhere, it appears, that in this family early care was taken, in cultivating the knowledge of true religion; and probably useful learning in general, human as well as divine, owed it's first culture to Shem, and his descendants, from whom it was propagated among their kindred of the other lines; these being the first seminaries of education, of which we have any account. These schools were continued in Abraham's family, whence God declares, Tknow him, that, 1913, he will instruct his children, and his houshold after him, and they shall keep the way of the Lord, Gen. xviii. 19. Hence, Chap. xxv. 22, where it is said, that Rebeccab went to enquire of the Lord, the Chaldee paraphrast expounds, that the went to the schools of Shem the great. And hence Foseph became skilled in the arts of legissature, and other sciences, to that degree, as to be enabled to bind the princes of Egypt, and bring them under the obligations of law, and to teach their senators wisdom, Ps. lv. 22. that wisdom, for which they became so famous in succeeding ages. See Abendana's discourses, chap. v. The LXX, Arab, and Ethiopic versions, which were made in Egypt, or in countries near it, translate here, He instructed their princes.

of Japhet are at this day Christians, Maggo only excepted, that is, the Turks whereas all the posterity of Ham, the Abasi synians perhaps only excepted, are either Mahametans, or heathen banbarians, and some of them sunk into such a degree of brutality, that it hath been questioned, whether they have any notion of God, or any sense of religion: whereby they suffer a spiritual slavery; being enslaved to the devil, and carried away captive by him at his will: which is the most dreadful slavery of all others.

Some learned men are of opinion, that Ham was the first introducer, and great promoter of idolatry, after the flood \*; and that he himself became the idol of his posterity ; who, by continuing idolaters, still

This is Mr. Mede's Observation, Book I. Disc.

<sup>\*</sup> An Arabian author asserts, that Ham was the sirst that spread idolatry over the earth, and was the author of magic, and several superstitions. Calmet, from Kircher. See likewise Bishop Cumberland's Phenician history, p. 152.

The word [13], which occurs in Lev. xxvi. 30. 2 Chron. xxxiv. 4, 7. Isa. xvii. 8. Ezek. vi. 4. 6. and which we translate images, and in the margin of continue

continue to tread in his footsteps, and verifie their original from him. And whoever confiders the lasting effects of Adam's transgression, will cease his wonder at the duration of Ham's idolatry; a crime of the deepest die z, which cleaves like leprosy to his posterity; and will acknowledge, that his curse operates by natural means.—That their Pagan blindness and superstition is our bibles, images of the sun, properly, and originally signifies, images of Cham, by which he was worshiped, his worship having been afterwards transferred to the sun. He is supposed by some to have been that Baak, which was the god of the Phenicians, Tyrians and Canagnites in general, and of the Carthaginians likewise. There was also a Baal-meon worshiped by the Egyptians; which, if not he himself, was his son Mizraim, or Menes, whom they deified. See Numb. xxxii. 30. Jos. xiii. 17. 1. Chron. v. 8. Ezek. xxv. 9. and Bishop Cumb. ib. p. 61, 64. Some think this idol was likewise the same with the Bel of the Babylanians: But I am rather inclined to believe, that this Bel was Nimrad; who is called Belus in the passage above quoted from Eusebius. We have here however good grounds to conclude, that the several heads of this family, of whom Ham was the chief, were the real authors, and first objects of idolatrous worship, especially as we find no such early traces of it in either of the other families.

The heinousness of the sin of idolatry will be particularly considered and set forth in a suture discourse.

**X** 3

the

in him, which they make their own by imitation.—That idolatrous worship is always attended by impurity and uncleanness, and the practice of a great many filthy and abominable rites; to which, from the turpitude of mind that *Ham* discovered, in exposing his father's nakedness, he shewed himself greatly addicted a; and with which

\* Berosus takes notice, that Cham was called Chamessenua, the word essenua signifying immodest and impudent, as Cham signifies lustful heat. And he is by some supposed to have been the same with Pan, and to have been worshiped under the symbol of a goat: And what confirms this opinion is, that the city Chemis, built in honour of Cham, observed above, is by Diodorus said to be built in honour of Pan, and that by interpretation it signifies the city of Pan. lib. i. p. 16. See Willet on Genesis ix. 22. and Bishop Clayton's Kemarks on Hieroglyph. p. 103. The story of Chronus having laid an ambuscade for his father Ouranus, and deprived him of his virilities, is first mentioned by Sanchoniatho; from whom the Greeks had it, and is probably grounded on Ham's seeing his father's nakedness; Ouranus being Noah, and Chronus Ham. It is accounted for in this manner. "Vidit Cham verenda patris, et nunciavit—quod hebraice est, 7,11, quod a serie orationis divulsum, legi potuit, et abscidit, a verbo 77, excidere." Bochart Phaleg. lib. i. cap. I. The Targum of Jonghis feed too, was contaminated.—And that this naturally debases any people, and makes them slaves to their lusts.

Lastly, since the family of Ham were the sirst, and great corrupters of true religion, it is natural in itself, as well as just in the appointments of Providence, that the corruption should be longest wearing out among them; and that they should be the last called, and recovered to the worship of the true God.

Upon the whole, it is very extraordinary, that a prophecy delivered above four thoufand years ago, concerning a third part of mankind, in which the two other parts were likewise much concerned, should receive such a series of accomplishments, and continue to be verified in the history of all the three branches, down to these present times.—That this curse should still pursue

than improves upon the scripture account of this matter in much the same manner, though not quite so indelicately; by intimating, that *Ham*, by what he had done, wa, the cause of *Noah's* not begetting another son.

The baseness of the Egyptian branch of this samily will hereafter be particularly shewn, in a discourse professedly on that subject.

4

the sons of Ham, to the most distant parts of the world.—And that they even now groan under it, and feel its weight perhaps more severely than ever. What can pass for evidence, if this doth not? we might justly argue backwards, and conclude from the event, that this prophecy is to be extended to all the race of Ham.

I might now very well take leave of this family, and rest the argument here: But having promised a particular and separate consideration of two or three branches of it, which will farther consirm and illustrate this argument, I shall proceed with it accordingly, in the following discourses.

The

Worthington, William. The evidence of Christianity deduced from facts, and the testimony of sense, throughout all ages of the church, to the present time. In a series of discourses, preached for the lecture founded by the Honourable Robert Boyle, Esq. in the parish church of St. James, Westminster, in the year MDCCLXVI, MDCCLXVII, MDCCLXVIII. Wherein is shewn, that, upon the whole, this is not a decaying, but a growing evidence. By William Worthington, D.D. In two volumes. Vol. 1, Printed by W. Bowyer and J. Nichols; and sold by J. and F. Rivington, and J. Fletcher; in St. Paul's Church-yard; B. White, in Fleet-street; and T. Payne, at the Mews-gate, MDCCLXIX. [1769]. Eighteenth Century Collections Online, link.gale.com/apps/doc/CB0128358291/ECCO?u=natfra&sid=bookmark-ECCO&xid=ac94aaf0&pg=316. Accessed 22 Nov. 2021.